

## **The Necessary and Sufficient Condition for a Cyclic Code to Have a Complementary Dual**

Xiang Yang and James L. Massey  
Signal and Information Processing Laboratory  
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology  
CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

*Abstract:* A linear code with a complementary dual (an LCD code) is a linear code  $C$  whose dual code  $C^\perp$  satisfies  $C \cap C^\perp = \{ \mathbf{0} \}$ . It is shown that the necessary and sufficient condition for a cyclic code  $C$  of length  $n$  to be an LCD code is that the generator polynomial  $g(x)$  of  $C$  be self-reciprocal and all the monic irreducible factors of  $g(x)$  have the same multiplicity in  $g(x)$  as in  $x^n - 1$ .

### **1. Introduction**

A *linear code with a complementary dual* (an *LCD code*) was defined in [3] to be a linear code  $C$  whose dual code  $C^\perp$  satisfies  $C \cap C^\perp = \{ \mathbf{0} \}$ . It was shown in [3] that asymptotically good LCD codes exist and that LCD codes have certain other attractive properties. In the following, we give the necessary and sufficient condition for a cyclic code to be an LCD code.

### **2. Results**

Let  $C$  be a  $q$ -ary cyclic code of block length  $n = \tilde{n} \cdot p^e$  where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $GF(q)$ ,  $e \geq 0$ , and  $\gcd(p, \tilde{n}) = 1$ , where here and hereafter "gcd" denotes "greatest common divisor." All monic irreducible factors of

$x^n - 1$  in  $GF(q)[x]$  have multiplicity exactly  $p^e$ , as follows immediately from the facts that

$$x^n - 1 = x^{\tilde{n} p^e} - 1 = (x^{\tilde{n}} - 1)^{p^e}$$

and that the polynomial  $x^{\tilde{n}} - 1$  in  $GF(q)[x]$  has no repeated irreducible factors since  $\gcd(p, \tilde{n}) = 1$ . Suppose that  $f(x)$  is a monic (i.e., leading coefficient 1) polynomial of degree  $d$  with  $f(0) = c \neq 0$ . Then by the *monic reciprocal polynomial* of  $f(x)$  we mean the polynomial  $\tilde{f}(x) = c^{-1} x^d f(x^{-1})$ .

*Lemma:* If  $g(x)$  is a generator polynomial for an  $(n, k)$  cyclic code  $C$  of block length  $n = \tilde{n} \cdot p^e$  where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $GF(q)$ ,  $e \geq 0$  and  $\gcd(p, \tilde{n}) = 1$ , then  $C$  is an LCD code if and only if  $\gcd(g(x), \tilde{h}(x)) = 1$ , where  $\tilde{h}(x)$  is the monic reciprocal polynomial of  $h(x) = (x^n - 1)/g(x)$ .

*Proof:* The dual code  $C^\perp$  of  $C$  is the cyclic code whose generator polynomial is  $\tilde{h}(x)$ , cf. [2, pp. 72-73]. The polynomial  $g^*(x) = \text{lcm}(g(x), \tilde{h}(x))$  is of course the generator polynomial of the cyclic code  $C \cap C^\perp$ , where "lcm" here and hereafter denotes "least common multiple." We first note that  $C \cap C^\perp = \{ \mathbf{0} \}$  if and only if  $g^*(x)$  has degree  $n$ . But  $x^n - 1$  is divisible by  $g(x)$  and by  $\tilde{h}(x)$ ,  $\deg[g(x)] = n - k$ , and  $\deg[\tilde{h}(x)] = k$ . Therefore,  $\deg[g^*(x)] = n$  if and only if  $\gcd(g(x), \tilde{h}(x)) = 1$ .  $\square$

*Theorem:* If  $g(x)$  is the generator polynomial of a  $q$ -ary  $(n, k)$  cyclic code  $C$  of block length  $n$ , then  $C$  is an LCD code if and only if  $g(x)$  is self-reciprocal (i.e.,  $\tilde{g}(x) = g(x)$ ) and all the monic irreducible factors of  $g(x)$  have the same multiplicity in  $g(x)$  and in  $x^n - 1$ .

*Proof:* Let  $p$  be the characteristic of  $GF(q)$  and let  $n = \tilde{n} \cdot p^e$  where

$$\gcd(p, \tilde{n}) = 1.$$

Suppose now that  $C$  is an LCD code, i.e., (by the Lemma) that  $\gcd(g(x), \tilde{h}(x)) = 1$ . Then, because

$$x^n - 1 = g(x) \cdot h(x) = \tilde{g}(x) \cdot \tilde{h}(x), \quad (1)$$

it follows that  $g(x)$  must divide  $\tilde{g}(x)$  and hence that  $g(x) = \tilde{g}(x)$ , i.e.,  $g(x)$  is self-reciprocal. Thus  $\gcd(g(x), \tilde{h}(x)) = 1$  implies that  $\gcd(\tilde{g}(x), \tilde{h}(x)) = 1$  and hence that  $\gcd(g(x), h(x)) = 1$ . Because

$$x^n - 1 = g(x) \cdot h(x) = (x^{\tilde{n}} - 1)^{p^e}, \quad (2)$$

it follows that all the irreducible factors of  $g(x)$  must have multiplicity  $p^e$ .

Conversely, suppose first that  $g(x)$  is not self-reciprocal, i.e., that  $g(x)$  does not divide  $\tilde{g}(x)$ . It follows then from (1) that  $\gcd(g(x), \tilde{h}(x)) \neq 1$  and hence, by the Lemma, that  $C$  is not an LCD code. Suppose finally that  $g(x)$  is self-reciprocal, as hence so also is  $h(x) = (x^n - 1)/g(x)$ , but that some monic irreducible factor of  $g(x)$  has multiplicity less than  $p^e$ . Because of (2), it follows that  $1 \neq \gcd(g(x), h(x)) = \gcd(g(x), \tilde{h}(x))$ , and hence by the Lemma that  $C$  is not an LCD code.  $\square$

A *reversible code* is a code such that reversing the order of the components of a codeword gives always again a codeword. It was shown in [4] that a cyclic code is reversible if and only if its generator polynomial is self-reciprocal, which immediately establishes the following corollary that covers the cyclic codes of greatest interest, namely those whose generator polynomials have no repeated factors, cf. [1].

*Corollary:* A  $q$ -ary cyclic code, whose length  $n$  is relatively prime to the characteristic  $p$  of  $GF(q)$ , is an LCD code if and only if it is a reversible code.

## Acknowledgement

The first author gratefully acknowledges helpful discussions with Dr. Thomas Mittelholzer.

## References

- [1] G. Castagnoli, J. L. Massey, P. A. Schoeller and N. Seemann, "On Repeated-Root Cyclic Codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* (1991) 337-342.
- [2] J. H. van Lint, *Introduction to Coding Theory* (Springer, New York, 1982).
- [3] J. L. Massey, "Linear codes with complementary duals," to appear in *Discrete Mathematics* (1992).
- [4] J. L. Massey, "Reversible codes," *Information & Control* (1964) 369-380.